

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/784,479	02/15/2001	Glenn Petkovsek	USA-P-00-001	1689	
75	90 09/10/2004		EXAM	INER	
Patents +TMS			BORISSOV, IGOR N		
A Professional (Third Floor	Corporation		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
1914 N. Milwaukee Avenue			3629		
Chicago, IL 6	0647		DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/784,479	PETKOVSEK, GLENN	5)				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Igor Borissov	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s				
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to th places the application	o a on in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in a terminate than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The offee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sas set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office la filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	extension and the corresponding amoune he shortened statutory period for reply of ter than three months after the mailing of	int of the fee. The appropria	ate extension e action; or (2)				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simp	lifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): <u>none</u> .						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed an	nendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT p	lace the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were n	ewly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ns t				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	Mo	ch					
Claim(s) allowed:	JOHN 6	a. Weiss					
Claim(s) objected to:		ATENT EXAMINER					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .	TECHNOLOGY	CENTER 3600					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issue because new elements were added to the independent claims which require further consideration. Specifically, "providing a database which is remote to the user and which is accessible by the user via the computer-accessible site" further narrows down the scope of claim 12.

The applicant's argument that the prior art does not teach the invention was fully addressed in the Final Office Action of 6/03/2004.